

# Quantum Gate Fidelity in Terms of Choi Matrices

Nathaniel Johnston  
Joint work with David W. Kribs

University of Guelph

March 8, 2011

# Quantum Information Theory Background

- Pure quantum states are represented by unit vectors  $|\phi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .
- Ideally, quantum gates are represented by unitary transformations on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .
- In practice, however, things aren't always unitary – noise gets introduced into the system.
- In practice, quantum channels are represented by completely positive, trace-preserving maps.

# Quantum Information Theory Background

- Pure quantum states are represented by unit vectors  $|\phi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .
- Ideally, quantum gates are represented by unitary transformations on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .
- In practice, however, things aren't always unitary – noise gets introduced into the system.
- In practice, quantum channels are represented by completely positive, trace-preserving maps.

# Quantum Information Theory Background

- Pure quantum states are represented by unit vectors  $|\phi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .
- Ideally, quantum gates are represented by unitary transformations on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .
- In practice, however, things aren't always unitary – noise gets introduced into the system.
- In practice, quantum channels are represented by completely positive, trace-preserving maps.

# Quantum Information Theory Background

- Pure quantum states are represented by unit vectors  $|\phi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .
- Ideally, quantum gates are represented by unitary transformations on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .
- In practice, however, things aren't always unitary – noise gets introduced into the system.
- In practice, quantum channels are represented by completely positive, trace-preserving maps.

# Quantum Information Theory Background

- A **unitary channel** is a map on  $M_n$  of the form  $\mathcal{U}(X) \equiv UXU^*$ , where  $U \in M_n$  is unitary.
- A **quantum channel**  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a completely positive, trace-preserving map on  $M_n$ . That is,
  - 1  $\mathcal{Q}(X) \in M_n^+ \quad \forall X \in M_n^+$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{Q}$  is **positive**);
  - 2  $id_m \otimes \mathcal{Q}$  is positive for all  $m \geq 1$ ; and
  - 3  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{Q}(X)) = \text{Tr}(X) \quad \forall X \in M_n$ .

# Quantum Information Theory Background

- A **unitary channel** is a map on  $M_n$  of the form  $\mathcal{U}(X) \equiv UXU^*$ , where  $U \in M_n$  is unitary.
- A **quantum channel**  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a completely positive, trace-preserving map on  $M_n$ . That is,
  - 1  $\mathcal{Q}(X) \in M_n^+ \quad \forall X \in M_n^+ \quad$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{Q}$  is **positive**);
  - 2  $id_m \otimes \mathcal{Q}$  is positive for all  $m \geq 1$ ; and
  - 3  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{Q}(X)) = \text{Tr}(X) \quad \forall X \in M_n$ .

# Quantum Information Theory Background

- A **unitary channel** is a map on  $M_n$  of the form  $\mathcal{U}(X) \equiv UXU^*$ , where  $U \in M_n$  is unitary.
- A **quantum channel**  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a completely positive, trace-preserving map on  $M_n$ . That is,
  - 1  $\mathcal{Q}(X) \in M_n^+ \quad \forall X \in M_n^+ \quad$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{Q}$  is **positive**);
  - 2  $id_m \otimes \mathcal{Q}$  is positive for all  $m \geq 1$ ; and
  - 3  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{Q}(X)) = \text{Tr}(X) \quad \forall X \in M_n$ .



# Quantum Information Theory Background

- A **unitary channel** is a map on  $M_n$  of the form  $\mathcal{U}(X) \equiv UXU^*$ , where  $U \in M_n$  is unitary.
- A **quantum channel**  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a completely positive, trace-preserving map on  $M_n$ . That is,
  - 1  $\mathcal{Q}(X) \in M_n^+ \quad \forall X \in M_n^+$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{Q}$  is **positive**);
  - 2  $id_m \otimes \mathcal{Q}$  is positive for all  $m \geq 1$ ; and
  - 3  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{Q}(X)) = \text{Tr}(X) \quad \forall X \in M_n$ .

# Quantum Information Theory Background

- A **unitary channel** is a map on  $M_n$  of the form  $\mathcal{U}(X) \equiv UXU^*$ , where  $U \in M_n$  is unitary.
- A **quantum channel**  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a completely positive, trace-preserving map on  $M_n$ . That is,
  - 1  $\mathcal{Q}(X) \in M_n^+ \quad \forall X \in M_n^+ \quad$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{Q}$  is **positive**);
  - 2  $id_m \otimes \mathcal{Q}$  is positive for all  $m \geq 1$ ; and
  - 3  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{Q}(X)) = \text{Tr}(X) \quad \forall X \in M_n$ .

# Quantum Information Theory Background

- To determine whether or not a map  $\Lambda$  is completely positive, it is enough to determine whether or not its **Choi matrix** is positive semidefinite.
- The Choi matrix of a map  $\Lambda$  on  $M_n$  is the following operator on  $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ :

$$C_\Lambda := \sum_{i,j=1}^n |i\rangle\langle j| \otimes \Lambda(|i\rangle\langle j|).$$

# Quantum Information Theory Background

- To determine whether or not a map  $\Lambda$  is completely positive, it is enough to determine whether or not its **Choi matrix** is positive semidefinite.
- The Choi matrix of a map  $\Lambda$  on  $M_n$  is the following operator on  $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ :

$$C_\Lambda := \sum_{i,j=1}^n |i\rangle\langle j| \otimes \Lambda(|i\rangle\langle j|).$$

# What is Quantum Gate Fidelity?

**Quantum gate fidelity** is a way of measuring the distance between the unitary channel  $\mathcal{U}$  we *wanted* to implement and the quantum channel  $\mathcal{Q}$  that we *did* implement.

- It is a function on pure states (unit vectors in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ) defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{U}}(|\phi\rangle) &:= \text{Tr}(\mathcal{Q}(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)\mathcal{U}(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\mathcal{U}^\dagger \circ \mathcal{Q}(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)\end{aligned}$$

- WLOG, we can ignore  $\mathcal{U}$ . We define  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Q}} := \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Q},\text{id}}$ .

# What is Quantum Gate Fidelity?

**Quantum gate fidelity** is a way of measuring the distance between the unitary channel  $\mathcal{U}$  we *wanted* to implement and the quantum channel  $\mathcal{Q}$  that we *did* implement.

- It is a function on pure states (unit vectors in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ) defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{U}}(|\phi\rangle) &:= \text{Tr}(\mathcal{Q}(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)\mathcal{U}(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\mathcal{U}^\dagger \circ \mathcal{Q}(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)\end{aligned}$$

- WLOG, we can ignore  $\mathcal{U}$ . We define  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Q}} := \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Q},\text{id}}$ .

# What is Quantum Gate Fidelity?

**Quantum gate fidelity** is a way of measuring the distance between the unitary channel  $\mathcal{U}$  we *wanted* to implement and the quantum channel  $\mathcal{Q}$  that we *did* implement.

- It is a function on pure states (unit vectors in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ) defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{U}}(|\phi\rangle) &:= \text{Tr}(\mathcal{Q}(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)\mathcal{U}(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\mathcal{U}^\dagger \circ \mathcal{Q}(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)\end{aligned}$$

- WLOG, we can ignore  $\mathcal{U}$ . We define  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Q}} := \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Q},\text{id}}$ .

## Some Simple Examples

Recall that

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Q}}(|\phi\rangle) = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{Q}(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|).$$

- It is clear that  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Q}} \equiv \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Q}^\dagger}$ , where  $\mathcal{Q}^\dagger$  is the dual map defined by

$$\text{Tr}(\mathcal{Q}(X)Y) = \text{Tr}(X\mathcal{Q}^\dagger(Y)) \quad \forall X, Y \in M_n.$$

- A bit more generally, if  $\mathcal{E}$  is a unital channel (i.e.,  $\mathcal{E}(I) = I$ ) and  $r \geq 0$  then  $\mathcal{Q} + r(\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}^\dagger)$  has the same gate fidelity as  $\mathcal{Q}$  itself.



## Some Simple Examples

Recall that

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Q}}(|\phi\rangle) = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{Q}(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|).$$

- It is clear that  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Q}} \equiv \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Q}^\dagger}$ , where  $\mathcal{Q}^\dagger$  is the dual map defined by

$$\text{Tr}(\mathcal{Q}(X)Y) = \text{Tr}(X\mathcal{Q}^\dagger(Y)) \quad \forall X, Y \in M_n.$$

- A bit more generally, if  $\mathcal{E}$  is a unital channel (i.e.,  $\mathcal{E}(I) = I$ ) and  $r \geq 0$  then  $\mathcal{Q} + r(\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}^\dagger)$  has the same gate fidelity as  $\mathcal{Q}$  itself.

## Some Simple Examples

Recall that

$$\mathcal{F}_Q(|\phi\rangle) = \text{Tr}(Q(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|).$$

- It is clear that  $\mathcal{F}_Q \equiv \mathcal{F}_{Q^\dagger}$ , where  $Q^\dagger$  is the dual map defined by

$$\text{Tr}(Q(X)Y) = \text{Tr}(XQ^\dagger(Y)) \quad \forall X, Y \in M_n.$$

- A bit more generally, if  $\mathcal{E}$  is a unital channel (i.e.,  $\mathcal{E}(I) = I$ ) and  $r \geq 0$  then  $Q + r(\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}^\dagger)$  has the same gate fidelity as  $Q$  itself.

## Some Simple Examples

What about the converse?

If  $\mathcal{F}_Q \equiv \mathcal{F}_R$ , does there exist  $r \geq 0$  and a unital channel  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{Q} + r(\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}^\dagger)$ ?

## Some Simple Examples

What about the converse?

If  $\mathcal{F}_Q \equiv \mathcal{F}_R$ , does there exist  $r \geq 0$  and a unital channel  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{Q} + r(\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}^\dagger)$ ?

## In 4 or More Dimensions

It was shown in [Magesan, 2010] that the converse does not hold when  $n \geq 4$ .

To see this, a specific map  $\Lambda$  on  $M_4$  was constructed with three properties:

- 1  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda \equiv 0$ ;
- 2  $\Lambda$  is trace-preserving; and
- 3 There does not exist  $r \geq 0$  and a unital channel  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $\Lambda = r(\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}^\dagger)$ .

Then  $\mathcal{F}_Q \equiv \mathcal{F}_{Q+\varepsilon\Lambda}$ .

## In 4 or More Dimensions

It was shown in [Magesan, 2010] that the converse does not hold when  $n \geq 4$ .

To see this, a specific map  $\Lambda$  on  $M_4$  was constructed with three properties:

- 1  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda \equiv 0$ ;
- 2  $\Lambda$  is trace-preserving; and
- 3 There does not exist  $r \geq 0$  and a unital channel  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $\Lambda = r(\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}^\dagger)$ .

Then  $\mathcal{F}_Q \equiv \mathcal{F}_{Q+\varepsilon\Lambda}$ .

## In 4 or More Dimensions

It was shown in [Magesan, 2010] that the converse does not hold when  $n \geq 4$ .

To see this, a specific map  $\Lambda$  on  $M_4$  was constructed with three properties:

- 1  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda \equiv 0$ ;
- 2  $\Lambda$  is trace-preserving; and
- 3 There does not exist  $r \geq 0$  and a unital channel  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $\Lambda = r(\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}^\dagger)$ .

Then  $\mathcal{F}_Q \equiv \mathcal{F}_{Q+\varepsilon\Lambda}$ .

## In 4 or More Dimensions

It was shown in [Magesan, 2010] that the converse does not hold when  $n \geq 4$ .

To see this, a specific map  $\Lambda$  on  $M_4$  was constructed with three properties:

- 1  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda \equiv 0$ ;
- 2  $\Lambda$  is trace-preserving; and
- 3 There does not exist  $r \geq 0$  and a unital channel  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $\Lambda = r(\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}^\dagger)$ .

Then  $\mathcal{F}_Q \equiv \mathcal{F}_{Q+\varepsilon\Lambda}$ .



## In 4 or More Dimensions

It was shown in [Magesan, 2010] that the converse does not hold when  $n \geq 4$ .

To see this, a specific map  $\Lambda$  on  $M_4$  was constructed with three properties:

- 1  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda \equiv 0$ ;
- 2  $\Lambda$  is trace-preserving; and
- 3 There does not exist  $r \geq 0$  and a unital channel  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $\Lambda = r(\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}^\dagger)$ .

Then  $\mathcal{F}_Q \equiv \mathcal{F}_{Q+\varepsilon\Lambda}$ .

## In 4 or More Dimensions

It was shown in [Magesan, 2010] that the converse does not hold when  $n \geq 4$ .

To see this, a specific map  $\Lambda$  on  $M_4$  was constructed with three properties:

- 1  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda \equiv 0$ ;
- 2  $\Lambda$  is trace-preserving; and
- 3 There does not exist  $r \geq 0$  and a unital channel  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $\Lambda = r(\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}^\dagger)$ .

Then  $\mathcal{F}_Q \equiv \mathcal{F}_{Q+\varepsilon\Lambda}$ .

## In 2 or 3 Dimensions

In contrast with the case when the dimension is 4 or higher, we have the following result in 2 or 3 dimensions:

### Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  be quantum channels on  $M_n$ . Suppose that either*

- $n = 2$ ; or*
- $n = 3$  and  $\mathcal{Q}(I) = \mathcal{R}(I)$ .*

*Then  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Q}} \equiv \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{R}}$  if and only if there exists  $r \geq 0$  and a unital quantum channel  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{Q} + r(\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}^\dagger)$ .*

## In 2 or 3 Dimensions

In contrast with the case when the dimension is 4 or higher, we have the following result in 2 or 3 dimensions:

### Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  be quantum channels on  $M_n$ . Suppose that either

- $n = 2$ ; or
- $n = 3$  and  $\mathcal{Q}(I) = \mathcal{R}(I)$ .

Then  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Q}} \equiv \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{R}}$  if and only if there exists  $r \geq 0$  and a unital quantum channel  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{Q} + r(\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}^\dagger)$ .

## General Characterization

We now present a characterization of when channels have identical gate fidelity in arbitrary dimension. But first...

- The **symmetric subspace**  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$  is the subspace spanned by states of the form  $|i\rangle \otimes |j\rangle + |j\rangle \otimes |i\rangle$ .
- We denote the projection onto the symmetric subspace by  $P_{\mathcal{S}}$ .
- We denote the **partial transpose** of  $X \in M_n \otimes M_n$  by  $X^{\Gamma}$ .

## General Characterization

We now present a characterization of when channels have identical gate fidelity in arbitrary dimension. But first...

- The **symmetric subspace**  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$  is the subspace spanned by states of the form  $|i\rangle \otimes |j\rangle + |j\rangle \otimes |i\rangle$ .
- We denote the projection onto the symmetric subspace by  $P_{\mathcal{S}}$ .
- We denote the **partial transpose** of  $X \in M_n \otimes M_n$  by  $X^{\Gamma}$ .

## General Characterization

We now present a characterization of when channels have identical gate fidelity in arbitrary dimension. But first...

- The **symmetric subspace**  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$  is the subspace spanned by states of the form  $|i\rangle \otimes |j\rangle + |j\rangle \otimes |i\rangle$ .
- We denote the projection onto the symmetric subspace by  $P_{\mathcal{S}}$ .
- We denote the **partial transpose** of  $X \in M_n \otimes M_n$  by  $X^{\Gamma}$ .

## General Characterization

We now present a characterization of when channels have identical gate fidelity in arbitrary dimension. But first...

- The **symmetric subspace**  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$  is the subspace spanned by states of the form  $|i\rangle \otimes |j\rangle + |j\rangle \otimes |i\rangle$ .
- We denote the projection onto the symmetric subspace by  $P_{\mathcal{S}}$ .
- We denote the **partial transpose** of  $X \in M_n \otimes M_n$  by  $X^{\Gamma}$ .



# General Characterization

Our main result shows that the gate fidelity of a channel  $\mathcal{Q}$  is determined exactly by the operator  $P_S C_{\mathcal{Q}}^\Gamma P_S$ :

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  be quantum channels. Then  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Q}} \equiv \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{R}}$  if and only if*

$$P_S C_{\mathcal{Q}}^\Gamma P_S = P_S C_{\mathcal{R}}^\Gamma P_S.$$

## General Characterization

Our main result shows that the gate fidelity of a channel  $\mathcal{Q}$  is determined exactly by the operator  $P_S C_{\mathcal{Q}}^\Gamma P_S$ :

### Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  be quantum channels. Then  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Q}} \equiv \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{R}}$  if and only if

$$P_S C_{\mathcal{Q}}^\Gamma P_S = P_S C_{\mathcal{R}}^\Gamma P_S.$$

## General Characterization

As a special case of the characterization of gate fidelity, consider the case of quantum channels with constant gate fidelity.

- It is well-known that depolarizing channels (i.e., channels of the form  $\mathcal{Q}(X) \equiv pX + \frac{(1-p)\text{Tr}(X)}{n}I$ ) have constant gate fidelity.
- There are others that have constant gate fidelity when  $n \geq 4$  as well...

### Corollary

*Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a quantum channel and let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Q}} \equiv c$  if and only if  $P_S C_Q^\Gamma P_S = cP_S$ .*

## General Characterization

As a special case of the characterization of gate fidelity, consider the case of quantum channels with constant gate fidelity.

- It is well-known that depolarizing channels (i.e., channels of the form  $Q(X) \equiv pX + \frac{(1-p)\text{Tr}(X)}{n}I$ ) have constant gate fidelity.
- There are others that have constant gate fidelity when  $n \geq 4$  as well...

### Corollary

*Let  $Q$  be a quantum channel and let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}_Q \equiv c$  if and only if  $P_S C_Q^\Gamma P_S = cP_S$ .*

## General Characterization

As a special case of the characterization of gate fidelity, consider the case of quantum channels with constant gate fidelity.

- It is well-known that depolarizing channels (i.e., channels of the form  $Q(X) \equiv pX + \frac{(1-p)\text{Tr}(X)}{n}I$ ) have constant gate fidelity.
- There are others that have constant gate fidelity when  $n \geq 4$  as well...

### Corollary

*Let  $Q$  be a quantum channel and let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}_Q \equiv c$  if and only if  $P_S C_Q^\Gamma P_S = cP_S$ .*

# General Characterization

As a special case of the characterization of gate fidelity, consider the case of quantum channels with constant gate fidelity.

- It is well-known that depolarizing channels (i.e., channels of the form  $Q(X) \equiv pX + \frac{(1-p)\text{Tr}(X)}{n}I$ ) have constant gate fidelity.
- There are others that have constant gate fidelity when  $n \geq 4$  as well...

## Corollary

*Let  $Q$  be a quantum channel and let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}_Q \equiv c$  if and only if  $P_S C_Q^\Gamma P_S = cP_S$ .*

## Measures Based on Gate Fidelity

The gate fidelity function is often modified in some way to provide a single number that can be used as a measure the gate fidelity of a channel  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

- One way of obtaining a single number from the gate fidelity is to average its value over all pure states.
- Alternatively, one may minimize the gate fidelity function over all pure states.
- Because all of the information about the gate fidelity of  $\mathcal{Q}$  is contained in  $P_S C_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\Gamma} P_S$ , it is instructive to see how the average and minimum gate fidelity depend on this operator.

## Measures Based on Gate Fidelity

The gate fidelity function is often modified in some way to provide a single number that can be used as a measure the gate fidelity of a channel  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

- One way of obtaining a single number from the gate fidelity is to average its value over all pure states.
- Alternatively, one may minimize the gate fidelity function over all pure states.
- Because all of the information about the gate fidelity of  $\mathcal{Q}$  is contained in  $P_S C_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\Gamma} P_S$ , it is instructive to see how the average and minimum gate fidelity depend on this operator.



## Measures Based on Gate Fidelity

The gate fidelity function is often modified in some way to provide a single number that can be used as a measure the gate fidelity of a channel  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

- One way of obtaining a single number from the gate fidelity is to average its value over all pure states.
- Alternatively, one may minimize the gate fidelity function over all pure states.
- Because all of the information about the gate fidelity of  $\mathcal{Q}$  is contained in  $P_S C_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\Gamma} P_S$ , it is instructive to see how the average and minimum gate fidelity depend on this operator.

## Measures Based on Gate Fidelity

The gate fidelity function is often modified in some way to provide a single number that can be used as a measure the gate fidelity of a channel  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

- One way of obtaining a single number from the gate fidelity is to average its value over all pure states.
- Alternatively, one may minimize the gate fidelity function over all pure states.
- Because all of the information about the gate fidelity of  $\mathcal{Q}$  is contained in  $P_S C_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\Gamma} P_S$ , it is instructive to see how the average and minimum gate fidelity depend on this operator.

# Average Gate Fidelity

The **average gate fidelity**  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_Q$  of a quantum channel  $Q$  is the average of  $\mathcal{F}_Q(|\phi\rangle\rangle)$  over all pure states  $|\phi\rangle$ .

The average gate fidelity is known to be simple to calculate in terms of the Kraus operators of  $Q$ . The following proposition is a simple rephrasing of a well-known formula.

## Proposition

Let  $Q$  be a quantum channel on  $M_n$ . Then

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \frac{n + \text{Tr}(P_S C_Q^\Gamma P_S)}{n(n+1)}.$$

# Average Gate Fidelity

The **average gate fidelity**  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_Q$  of a quantum channel  $Q$  is the average of  $\mathcal{F}_Q(|\phi\rangle\rangle)$  over all pure states  $|\phi\rangle$ .

The average gate fidelity is known to be simple to calculate in terms of the Kraus operators of  $Q$ . The following proposition is a simple rephrasing of a well-known formula.

## Proposition

Let  $Q$  be a quantum channel on  $M_n$ . Then

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \frac{n + \text{Tr}(P_S C_Q^\Gamma P_S)}{n(n+1)}.$$

# Average Gate Fidelity

The **average gate fidelity**  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_Q$  of a quantum channel  $Q$  is the average of  $\mathcal{F}_Q(|\phi\rangle\rangle)$  over all pure states  $|\phi\rangle$ .

The average gate fidelity is known to be simple to calculate in terms of the Kraus operators of  $Q$ . The following proposition is a simple rephrasing of a well-known formula.

## Proposition

Let  $Q$  be a quantum channel on  $M_n$ . Then

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \frac{n + \text{Tr}(P_S C_Q^\Gamma P_S)}{n(n+1)}.$$

# Minimum Gate Fidelity

The **minimum gate fidelity**  $\mathcal{F}_Q^{\min}$  of a quantum channel  $Q$  is the minimum of  $\mathcal{F}_Q(|\phi\rangle)$  over all pure states  $|\phi\rangle$ .

The minimum gate fidelity is expected to be tough to calculate. In order to present it in terms of  $P_S C_Q^\Gamma P_S$ , we first define a norm on  $M_n \otimes M_n$ :

Let  $X \in M_n \otimes M_n$ . Then we define

$$\|X\|_{S(1)} := \sup_{|v\rangle, |w\rangle} \left\{ |\langle v|X|w\rangle| : |v\rangle, |w\rangle \text{ are separable} \right\}.$$

# Minimum Gate Fidelity

The **minimum gate fidelity**  $\mathcal{F}_Q^{\min}$  of a quantum channel  $Q$  is the minimum of  $\mathcal{F}_Q(|\phi\rangle)$  over all pure states  $|\phi\rangle$ .

The minimum gate fidelity is expected to be tough to calculate. In order to present it in terms of  $P_S C_Q^\Gamma P_S$ , we first define a norm on  $M_n \otimes M_n$ :

Let  $X \in M_n \otimes M_n$ . Then we define

$$\|X\|_{S(1)} := \sup_{|v\rangle, |w\rangle} \left\{ |\langle v|X|w\rangle| : |v\rangle, |w\rangle \text{ are separable} \right\}.$$

# Minimum Gate Fidelity

The **minimum gate fidelity**  $\mathcal{F}_Q^{\min}$  of a quantum channel  $Q$  is the minimum of  $\mathcal{F}_Q(|\phi\rangle)$  over all pure states  $|\phi\rangle$ .

The minimum gate fidelity is expected to be tough to calculate. In order to present it in terms of  $P_S C_Q^\Gamma P_S$ , we first define a norm on  $M_n \otimes M_n$ :

Let  $X \in M_n \otimes M_n$ . Then we define

$$\|X\|_{S(1)} := \sup_{|v\rangle, |w\rangle} \left\{ |\langle v|X|w\rangle| : |v\rangle, |w\rangle \text{ are separable} \right\}.$$



# Minimum Gate Fidelity

## Theorem

Let  $Q$  be a quantum channel and let  $\lambda$  be the maximal eigenvalue of  $P_S C_Q^\Gamma P_S$ . Then

$$\mathcal{F}_Q^{\min} = \lambda - \|\lambda P_S - P_S C_Q^\Gamma P_S\|_{S(1)}.$$

- It is NP-HARD to compute  $\|\cdot\|_{S(1)}$  on general positive operators. This doesn't imply that  $\mathcal{F}_Q^{\min}$  is NP-HARD, but it certainly seems suggestive.
- Nevertheless, much is known about  $\|\cdot\|_{S(1)}$  that we can now immediately apply to minimum gate fidelity.

# Minimum Gate Fidelity

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a quantum channel and let  $\lambda$  be the maximal eigenvalue of  $P_S C_Q^\Gamma P_S$ . Then

$$\mathcal{F}_Q^{\min} = \lambda - \|\lambda P_S - P_S C_Q^\Gamma P_S\|_{S(1)}.$$

- It is NP-HARD to compute  $\|\cdot\|_{S(1)}$  on general positive operators. This doesn't imply that  $\mathcal{F}_Q^{\min}$  is NP-HARD, but it certainly seems suggestive.
- Nevertheless, much is known about  $\|\cdot\|_{S(1)}$  that we can now immediately apply to minimum gate fidelity.

# Minimum Gate Fidelity

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a quantum channel and let  $\lambda$  be the maximal eigenvalue of  $P_S C_Q^\Gamma P_S$ . Then

$$\mathcal{F}_Q^{\min} = \lambda - \|\lambda P_S - P_S C_Q^\Gamma P_S\|_{S(1)}.$$

- It is NP-HARD to compute  $\|\cdot\|_{S(1)}$  on general positive operators. This doesn't imply that  $\mathcal{F}_Q^{\min}$  is NP-HARD, but it certainly seems suggestive.
- Nevertheless, much is known about  $\|\cdot\|_{S(1)}$  that we can now immediately apply to minimum gate fidelity.

# Minimum Gate Fidelity

For example, we immediately get the following bounds:

## Corollary

*Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a quantum channel on  $M_n$ . Denote the eigenvalues of  $P_S C_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\Gamma} P_S$  supported on  $P_S$  by  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{n(n+1)/2}$  (i.e., these are the  $n(n+1)/2$  potentially nonzero eigenvalues of  $P_S C_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\Gamma} P_S$ ). Let  $\alpha_j$  be the maximal Schmidt coefficient of the eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_j$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\min} \leq \lambda_1 - \max_j \{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_j) \alpha_j^2\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\min} \geq \max \left\{ \lambda_{n(n+1)/2}, \lambda_1 - \sum_j (\lambda_1 - \lambda_j) \alpha_j^2 \right\}.$$

# Minimum Gate Fidelity

For example, we immediately get the following bounds:

## Corollary

*Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a quantum channel on  $M_n$ . Denote the eigenvalues of  $P_S C_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\Gamma} P_S$  supported on  $P_S$  by  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{n(n+1)/2}$  (i.e., these are the  $n(n+1)/2$  potentially nonzero eigenvalues of  $P_S C_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\Gamma} P_S$ ). Let  $\alpha_j$  be the maximal Schmidt coefficient of the eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_j$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\min} \leq \lambda_1 - \max_j \{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_j) \alpha_j^2\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\min} \geq \max \left\{ \lambda_{n(n+1)/2}, \lambda_1 - \sum_j (\lambda_1 - \lambda_j) \alpha_j^2 \right\}.$$

## Minimum Gate Fidelity

Also,  $\|\cdot\|_{S(1)}$  can be computed when  $n = 2$  via semidefinite programming, which allows us to compute  $\mathcal{F}_Q^{min}$  for qubit channels.

The semidefinite program is as follows, where we optimize over states  $\rho$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize: } \lambda_1 - \text{Tr}((\lambda_1 P_S - P_S C_Q^\Gamma P_S) \rho) \\ & \text{subject to: } \rho \in (M_2 \otimes M_2)^+ \\ & \rho^\Gamma \in (M_2 \otimes M_2)^+ \\ & \text{Tr}(\rho) = 1 \end{aligned}$$



# Minimum Gate Fidelity

Also,  $\|\cdot\|_{S(1)}$  can be computed when  $n = 2$  via semidefinite programming, which allows us to compute  $\mathcal{F}_Q^{\min}$  for qubit channels.

The semidefinite program is as follows, where we optimize over states  $\rho$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize: } & \lambda_1 - \text{Tr}((\lambda_1 P_S - P_S C_Q^\Gamma P_S)\rho) \\ \text{subject to: } & \rho \in (M_2 \otimes M_2)^+ \\ & \rho^\Gamma \in (M_2 \otimes M_2)^+ \\ & \text{Tr}(\rho) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

## Further Reading

-  E. Magesan, *Depolarizing behavior of quantum channels in higher dimensions*. arXiv:1002.3455 [quant-ph]
-  N. J., D. W. Kribs, *Quantum gate fidelity in terms of Choi matrices*. arXiv:1102.0948 [quant-ph]